

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,027	10/10/2001	Stephen C. Roy	36742.0200	7605
7590 02/08/2006			EXAMINER	
Snell & Wilmer, LLP			LEE, TOMMY D	
One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,027	ROY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas D. Lee	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau		od in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,027 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 28, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14 and 17 recite the limitation "said template" on line 15 of each claim.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. There is no recitation of a template in any of the recited steps prior to the facilitating step.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,424,996 (Killcommons et al.) in view of U.S. Patent 6,076,166 (Moshfeghi et al.), U.S. Patent 5,360,446 (Kennedy) and U.S. Patent 6,344,853 (Knight).

Regarding claim 14, Killcommons et al. disclose a method for facilitating the transmission and display of medical images, via a server and a network, the method comprising: acquiring and digitizing said medical images using an acquiring device (Killcommons et al.: column 7, lines 23-43); transmitting said medical images to said server via said network (column 7, lines 44-51; column 7, line 66 - column 8, line 8); assembling a web page, including said medical images, at said server (column 9, lines 6-41); transmitting said web page to a first user (column 10, lines 35-40); transmitting said web page to a second user (column 14, lines 58-63); displaying a message area, accepting messages input by said user and displaying said messages in said message area (column 14, lines 48-57).

Killcommons et al. do not disclose that the first and second users are authorized, or that the users input a unique identifier. Moshfeghi et al. disclose these limitations (Moshfeghi et al.: column 6, lines 49-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that allowing only authorized users to view the medical images ensures patient confidentiality, data integrity and security. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Killcommons et al. by providing for input of a unique identifier by each one of plural authorized users, such as disclosed in Moshfeghi et al.

Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said authorized user to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change affects gamma correction)); and enabling said authorized user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47), and thus disclose facilitating real time interaction to place and manipulate said medical images. In view of Moshfeghi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any number of users may be authorized to place and manipulate the images.

Killcommons et al., either alone or in combination with Moshfeghi et al., do not disclose facilitating real time interaction by said first and second authorized users to place and manipulate a template. Kennedy discloses overlaying a template on a medical image (Kennedy: column 13, lines 49-62; column 14, lines 20-23), and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53). While the template disclosed in Kennedy is not displayed on a web page, it is known in the art that a template may be displayed on a web page, where the template is placed on an image of a product, as disclosed in Knight (Knight: abstract). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by applying the teaching of Knight, a physician would be able to overlaying a template on a medical image obtained from a web page, so that the physician may be able to determine a proper design of a medical device to be implanted in a patient. Therefore,

it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Killcommons et al. and Moshfeghi et al. by providing for the display and manipulation of a template placed on a medical image on a web page, as suggested by the combined teaching of Kennedy and Knight.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Killcommons et al. disclose displaying a list including the names of said first and second authorized user (Killcommons et al.: column 15, lines 47-53); and storing said messages on said server (column 16, lines 14-28).

Regarding claim 1, Killcommons et al. disclose a method for facilitating the transmission and display of medical images, via a server and a network, the method comprising: acquiring and digitizing said medical images using an acquiring device (Killcommons et al.2 column 7, lines 23-43); transmitting said medical images to said server via said network (column 7, lines 44-51; column 7, line 66 - column 8, line 8); assembling a web page, including said medical images, at said server (column 9, lines 6-41); and transmitting said web page to a user (column 10, lines 35-40).

Killcommons et al. do not disclose that the user is authorized, or that the user inputs a unique identifier. Moshfeghi et al. disclose these limitations (Moshfeghi et al.: column 6, lines 49-62). As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that allowing only authorized users to view the medical images ensures patient confidentiality, data integrity and security. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the

Art Unit: 2624

teaching of Killcommons et al. by providing for input of a unique identifier by an authorized user, as disclosed in Moshfeghi et al.

As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said authorized user to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change affects gamma correction)); and enabling said authorized user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47), and thus disclose facilitating real time interaction to place and manipulate said medical images. In view of Moshfeghi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any number of users may be authorized to place and manipulate the images.

Killcommons et al. in view of Moshfeghi et al. do not disclose displaying a template on said web page, wherein said template comprises an image which said authorized user incorporates into at least one of said medical images; or facilitating real time interaction by a plurality of authorized users to place and manipulate said template. As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Kennedy discloses overlaying a template on a medical image (Kennedy: column 13, lines 49-62; column 14, lines 20-23), and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53). While the template disclosed in Kennedy is not displayed on a web page, it is known in the art that a template may be

displayed on a web page, where the template is placed on an image of a product, as disclosed in Knight (Knight: abstract). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by applying the teaching of Knight, a physician would be able to overlaying a template on a medical image obtained from a web page, so that the physician may be able to determine a proper design of a medical device to be implanted in a patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Killcommons et al. and Moshfeghi et al. by providing for the display and manipulation of a template placed on a medical image on a web page, as suggested by the combined teaching of Kennedy and Knight.

Regarding claim 2, Knight discloses providing a list of templates, and allowing said authorized user to select said at least one template from said list of templates (Knight: column 6, lines 19-37).

Regarding claims 3-5, Kennedy discloses a template including at least one image of a medical device (Kennedy: abstract (implant topology)); at least two different sizes of the same medical device (column 14, lines 20-23 (outline views of implant scaled to actual x-rays)); and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53).

Regarding claim 6, Killcommons et al. in view of Moshfeghi et al., Kennedy and Knight do not disclose enabling said authorized user to move said at least one of said medical images to a plurality of locations on a viewing device. However, it is well known in the art to provide a means by which images on a display can be moved from one position to another, and it such movement would have been an obvious modification of

the combined prior art to one of ordinary skill in the art, so as to facilitate viewing of the displayed image.

Regarding claim 7, the cited references do not disclose allowing said authorized user to choose whether said at least one template includes at least one of a black or white color. However, a feature for enabling selective viewing of a displayed portion of an image in either black or white is well known in the art for highlighting the portion, and it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such a feature in combined prior art, so that the template can be clearly distinguished from rest of the image.

Regarding claims 8-10, Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said authorized user to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change affects gamma correction)); and enabling said authorized user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include at least one of contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Killcommons et al. further disclose allowing said authorized user to access a database of information while viewing said medical images, wherein said information includes general medical information and patient information (Killcommons et al.: column 16, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 13, Killcommons et al. disclose a method for facilitating the transmission and display of medical images, via a server and a network, the method

Art Unit: 2624

comprising: acquiring and digitizing said medical images using an acquiring device (Killcommons et al.: column 7, lines 23-43); transmitting said medical images to said server via said network (column 7, lines 44-51; column 7, line 66 - column 8, line 8); assembling a web page at said server (column 9, lines 6-41); allowing said user to place two connecting lines on at least one of said medical images, measuring an angle formed by the junction of said two connected lines and displaying said measurement of said angle to said user (column 14, lines 26-32).

Killcommons et al. do not disclose that the user is authorized, or that the user inputs a unique identifier. Moshfeghi et al. disclose these limitations (Moshfeghi et al.: column 6, lines 49-62). As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that allowing only authorized users to view the medical images ensures patient confidentiality, data integrity and security. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Killcommons et al. by providing for input of a unique identifier by an authorized user, as disclosed in Moshfeghi et al.

As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said authorized user to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change affects gamma correction)); and enabling said authorized user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47),

and thus disclose facilitating real time interaction to place and manipulate said medical images. In view of Moshfeghi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any number of users may be authorized to place and manipulate the images.

Killcommons et al. in view of Moshfeghi et al. do not disclose displaying a template on said web page, wherein said template comprises an image which said authorized user incorporates into at least one of said medical images; or facilitating real time interaction by a plurality of authorized users to place and manipulate said template. As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Kennedy discloses overlaying a template on a medical image (Kennedy: column 13, lines 49-62; column 14, lines 20-23), and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53). While the template disclosed in Kennedy is not displayed on a web page, it is known in the art that a template may be displayed on a web page, where the template is placed on an image of a product, as disclosed in Knight (Knight: abstract). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by applying the teaching of Knight, a physician would be able to overlaying a template on a medical image obtained from a web page, so that the physician may be able to determine a proper design of a medical device to be implanted in a patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Killcommons et al. and Moshfeghi et al. by providing for the display and manipulation of a template placed on a medical image on a web page, as suggested by the combined teaching of Kennedy and Knight.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 17, Killcommons et al. disclose a method for facilitating the transmission and display of medical images for viewing, via a server and a network, the method comprising: receiving said medical images on said server from at least one uploading locations (Killcommons et al.: column 7, lines 23-43); storing said medical images on said server (column 7, lines 52-65); accepting a log-on request from a user to log onto said server and accepting a viewing request from said user to view at least one of said medical images (column 9, lines 12-15); displaying said at least one of the medical images to said user, based on said viewing request (column 9, lines 15-21); accepting a message from said user, displaying said message and displaying said medical images and said one or more messages to an authorized user (column 14, lines 48-57).

Killcommons et al. do not disclose inputting a unique identifier. Moshfeghi et al. disclose this limitation (Moshfeghi et al.: column 6, lines 49-62). As mentioned about with respect to claim 14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that allowing only authorized users to view the medical images ensures patient confidentiality, data integrity and security. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the ad to modify the teaching of Killcommons et al. by providing for input of a unique identifier by each one of plural authorized users, such as disclosed in Moshfeghi et al.

As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said authorized user to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change

Art Unit: 2624

affects gamma correction)); and enabling said authorized user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47), and thus disclose facilitating real time interaction to place and manipulate said medical images. In view of Moshfeghi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any number of users may be authorized to place and manipulate the images.

Neither Killcommons et al. nor Moshfeghi et al. disclose allowing said plurality of authorized users to place at least one template on said at least one of said medical images, or allowing said plurality of authorized users to move said at least one template to another location on said at least one of said medical images. As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Kennedy discloses overlaying a template on a medical image (Kennedy: column 13, lines 49-62; column 14, lines 20-23), and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53). While the template disclosed in Kennedy is not displayed on a web page, it is known in the art that a template may be displayed on a web page, where the template is placed on an image of a product, as disclosed in Knight (Knight: abstract). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by applying the teaching of Knight, a physician would be able to overlaying a template on a medical image obtained from a web page, so that the physician may be able to determine a proper design of a medical device to be implanted in a patient. Therefore,

it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Killcommons et al. and Moshfeghi et al. by providing for the display and manipulation of a template placed on a medical image on a web page, as suggested by the combined teaching of Kennedy and Knight.

6. Claims 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killcommons et al., in view of Kennedy and Knight.

Regarding claims 18, 19 and 21, Killcommons et al. disclose a system for facilitating the display of medical images, comprising; a server for receiving said medical images from one or more uploading sites (Killcommons et al.: column 7, lines 23-43); a processor for processing requests of a plurality of users to log onto said server and view said medical images (column 9, lines 12-15); and a network for delivering said medical images to said plurality of users (column 7, lines 52-55; column 9, lines 15-21). Said server is further configured to store said medical images and further comprises a database for storing general medical information and patient information (column 16, lines 17-21).

As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Killcommons et al. disclose allowing said users to adjust gamma correction for said at least one of said one or more images (Killcommons et al.: column 14, lines 39-44 (contrast change affects gamma correction)); and enabling said user to manipulate one or more display characteristics of said at least one of said one or more medical images, wherein said one or more display characteristics include contrast, gamma settings, image magnification, image size

reduction and image rotation (column 14, lines 39-47), and thus disclose facilitating real time interaction to place and manipulate said medical images.

Page 14

Killcommons et al. do not disclose a database for storing a template, wherein said template is configured to be displayed with said medical images, or facilitating real time interaction by said plurality of users to place and manipulate said template. As mentioned above with respect to claim 14, Kennedy discloses overlaying a template on a medical image (Kennedy: column 13, lines 49-62; column 14, lines 20-23), and enabling said authorized user to move said at least one template to a plurality of locations on a viewing device (column 13, lines 49-53). While the template disclosed in Kennedy is not displayed on a web page, it is known in the art that a template may be displayed on a web page, where the template is placed on an image of a product, as disclosed in Knight (Knight: abstract). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by applying the teaching of Knight, a physician would be able to overlaying a template on a medical image obtained from a web page, so that the physician may be able to determine a proper design of a medical device to be implanted in a patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Killcommons et al. by providing for the display and manipulation of a template placed on a medical image on a web page, as suggested by the combined teaching of Kennedy and Knight.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killcommons et al. in view of Kennedy and Knight as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Moshfeghi et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,027 Page 15

Art Unit: 2624

Killcommons et al. do not disclose said processor comprising: a storage module for storing unique user identifiers; a comparison module for comparing said stored unique user identifiers with input unique user identifiers input by users; and an authorization module for analyzing results from said comparison module and at least one of authorizing and denying user log-on requests based on said results. Moshfeghi et al. disclose these limitations (Moshfeghi et al.: column 2, lines 26-42; column 6, lines 49-62). As mentioned above with respect to claims 1, 13, 14 and 17, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad that allowing only authorized users to view the medical images ensures patient confidentiality, data integrity and security. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Killcommons et al., Kennedy and Knight by providing for input of a unique identifier by an authorized user, as disclosed in Moshfeghi et al.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed in response to the rejection of the above claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are based on the claims as amended. The amended claims are rejected for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas D. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas D. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

tdl February 3, 2006